

guests, please. Under the south balcony, the guests of Senator Moore, Senator Rod Johnson and Senator Langford are Richard Plock of York, Boyd Stuhr of Bradshaw, Glade Snoburger of Aurora, Andy Jensen of Aurora and Rod Gangwish of Shelton. Would you folks please stand and be recognized. Thank you. Also in the north balcony we have 40 guests of Senator Goodrich who are in the eighth grade at St. Thomas More School in Omaha, Nebraska and their teacher. Would you students and teacher please rise. Thank you for visiting us today also. Move on to Senator Lamb's motion.

CLERK: Mr. President, sitting on General File, I have a motion from Senator Lamb pursuant to Rule 1, Section 16. Senator Lamb would move to overrule the Speaker's agenda for Monday, April 2, 1990, and consider LB 866 and LB 866A immediately preceding LB 1124.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lamb, please.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, Mr. President and members, I hesitated to do this. I hesitate to overrule, try to overrule the Speaker's agenda, but I guess I don't have an alternative. As you will note, LB 866 is my priority bill. This has to do with property tax relief. Some people might consider it an alternative to LB 1059. I circulated the motion and also the letter which I wrote to the Speaker on March 21 requesting that LB 866 be given priority status or special order, as it is my personal priority bill. And, as I mentioned in the letter, my request is because I don't think anybody on this floor knows what's going to happen to LB 1066...or LB 1059. LB 1059, of course, has advanced twice. LB 1059 will undoubtedly pass Final Reading. But I think the real question comes at this point as to whether or not it will be vetoed, which it probably will be vetoed, as I understand, and then the next question is whether the veto will be overridden. Now some people on this floor have said, look, we have no alternative. What if we don't do LB 1059? There's nothing else out there. And so that's why I'm saying that we should have LB 866 advanced over to Select File and perhaps even farther so that we do have an alternative. And I don't know what form you want LB 866 to be advanced and I'm not going to be real fussy about what form it is advanced, just so it gets over there so we do have an alternative. I do have recommendations. As you will remember, the Revenue Committee put some amendments on the bill; and I have amendments to the committee amendments which would modify that recommendation by the Revenue Committee.